

To: Kumar, Ashij (EC)[ashij.kumar@canada.ca]
From: Schardt, James
Sent: Thur 5/12/2016 4:36:48 PM
Subject: FW: For Your Prompt Review - Draft GLWQA Progress Report of the Parties
PRP MASTER - USCG edits 9May.docx

Coast guard edits...

-----Original Message-----

From: Thomas, Lorne W CIV [mailto:Lorne.W.Thomas@uscg.mil]
 Sent: Monday, May 09, 2016 12:09 PM
 To: Schardt, James <schardt.james@epa.gov>
 Cc: Korleski, Christopher <korleski.christopher@epa.gov>
 Subject: RE: For Your Prompt Review - Draft GLWQA Progress Report of the Parties

Wrong Jamie....

Lorne W. Thomas
 External Affairs Division
 USCG Ninth District (de)

-----Original Message-----

From: Thomas, Lorne W CIV
 Sent: Monday, May 09, 2016 1:07 PM
 To: 'Korleski, Christopher'
 Cc: Frederick, Jamie C CDR
 Subject: RE: For Your Prompt Review - Draft GLWQA Progress Report of the Parties

Chris,

Are we supposed to comment without seeking input from our Canadian Co-Leads?

WRT Annex 5, I have added comments, answered questions and proposed edits (as suggested) in the attached. I would feel much better if I could share with Chris Wiley given the high level of review these documents get within Transport Canada...

Thoughts?

Lorne W. Thomas
 External Affairs Division
 USCG Ninth District (de)

-----Original Message-----

From: Korleski, Christopher [mailto:korleski.christopher@epa.gov]
 Sent: Friday, May 06, 2016 11:03 PM
 To: Carney, Wendy; Guerriero, Margaret; Hyde, Tinka; Thomas, Lorne W CIV; Todd Turner; Lynn Lewis; Norm Granneman (nggranne@usgs.gov); Doug Kluck; Horvatin, Paul
 Cc: Murphy, Elizabeth; Grams, Bradley; Smith, Ted; Martig, Anton (Tony); Klevs, Mardi; Wortman, Santina; Nettesheim, Todd; Rodriguez, Karen; Schardt, James; Barnes, Edlynzia; Hinchey, Elizabeth; Laplante, Elizabeth; Luckey, Frederick; Davis, Cameron; Torchia, Carla (EC/EC); Korleski, Christopher
 Subject: [Non-DoD Source] For Your Prompt Review - Draft GLWQA Progress Report of the Parties

Hello U.S. Annex Co-Leads:

In the interests of time, I am disregarding protocol and niceties and respectfully requesting that you review (and revise as appropriate) by no later than c.o.b. Thursday 5/11, your respective chapters of the attached draft Progress Report of the Parties. The secretariat is flogging itself to get a draft out to the GLEC members well in advance of the GLEC meeting, and we are actually very close, thanks to your efforts with respect to the initial PRP chapter drafts.

A little guidance:

* Please feel free to review and comment on as much of the draft PRP as you like, but what we're really looking for is your review of your individual Annex chapters. The Secretariat will take the lead on the introductory chapters.

* When you look at your chapter, please note that it has been reviewed by the Secretariat, and you may see comments, questions, and/or requests for simplification, technical clarifications, or elimination of jargon. It is these items that we would really like you to focus on. All things considered, the number of comments in each chapter is pretty small.

* Don't worry about formatting. It's the text that we're asking for your help on.

* If a comment makes no sense to you, please call, write, telegraph, send smoke signals, use semaphore flags ... just contact me or Jamie Schardt.

Finally, I am blown away by how much work we've accomplished and the really good story told by the PRP.

I'll be on deck come Monday morning and ready to provide whatever assistance I can. I know I'm being a pain in the ...

Thanks.

Chris

